

GROUP 1

- Address private and public economic impacts, positive and negative.
- Address Senate Bill 110, each point in the bill
- How will the terminal groin affect the west end of the island
- Adequately address the downdrift affect well beyond the proposed terminal groin, including Sunset Beach
- Cost of continuing maintenance of terminal groin
- Address the length of the EIS study
- Negative impacts on town, state, and tourism economy if no terminal groin is installed, or if no other project is approved
- Visual aesthetics of completed terminal groin
- If only beach nourishment were to continue without the terminal groin, how does it affect the east end of OIB
- 30 year model, include category 1 and over hurricanes, with and without the terminal groin
- All comments should include with and without terminal groin
- Disclose the funds paying for the terminal groin
- Address property values if nothing is done, or if terminal groin is installed
- Assess the opening up of the inlet as it affects navigation and recreational opportunities
- Assess the impacts of sea level rise from a long-term perspective
- How will the terminal groin affect the flow of the inlet, and how often will the inlet have to be maintained
- Address private and public property east of the terminal groin
- Addressing adequate funding for monitoring environmental effects of groin, funding for mitigation for negative effects west of the groin on OIB and adjacent islands. Requirements for removal of groin, if needed
- Address effects from removal of groin, if needed
- Address/assess movement of sand with and without the terminal groin, along the eastern end of OIB
- Additional affects of critical bird habitat on the west end of OIB
- Impacts on sea turtle population if the terminal groin is put in.

GROUP 2

- Identify solution for existing problem
- Money spent to save infrastructure and relocating utilities
- Address impacts to Sunset Beach and Bird Island, down-drift
- Long-term options beyond ACOE renourishment efforts
- Immediate solutions available
- Long-term solutions
- Does current ACOE renourishment project affect erosion rates now

- Imminent threat for loss of existing structures
- Hardened structures existing in other states (NJ), and their affects
- Impacts to west end, will it affect wave refraction, sand accretion, and erosion
- Aesthetic affects to beach-goers
- Long-range costs, operations and maintenance-proliferation
- Will this groin set a precedence for future groins at all inlets in NC
- OIB central reach is stable, will the groin affect this
- Changes in sand transport into Shallotte Inlet
- Will groin only slow erosion, or stop it
- Is this a permanent solution
- Unintended consequences
- Will ACOE expand existing nourishment efforts to include east end
- Are jetties a viable alternative
- Will groin cause loss to adjacent islands
- Nesting shorebirds and sea turtles
- Will groin create additional habitat for fish and bring back turtles
- Impacts to Holden Beach and Shallotte Inlet AIWW Shallotte River
- Does terminal groin affect the federal project
- Will groin allow expansion of federal project into inlet hazard area-policy change
- Will it cost more and/or save money to construct groin. Less cost to renourish beach
- Effect to Shallotte Inlet, will it increase navigation and stabilize inlet
- Expert input-studies and observations by academic community showing affects of groins
- Will sea level rise impact project viability

GROUP 3

- Terminal groin siting
- Affect of construction timing based on protected species
- Channel re-alignment alternative
- Will there be access to the east end by ATV or foot
- Downdrift effects of groin
- Is there west end erosion
- What are the affects of the groin on the east end and west end of Holden Beach (i.e. Turtles)
- Are there other options out there
- How visible will the structure be
- What material will the groin consist of
- Cumulative effects of other terminal groins in the area
- Affects of structure on bed flow sediment
- Impact to Sunset Beach (turtle issues, Bird Island, and erosion toward Bird Island)

- Economical feasibility of groin
- Depth of previous studies
- Fisheries and other environmental issues
- Affect of groin on east end of Sunset Beach
- Accuracy of previous models
- Comment made supporting the use of the structure
- Assessment of no build alternative, 20-25 year
- Effect of stop dredging the inlet

GROUP 4

- Consider effects that timber structure (temporary reinforcement) had on the system
- Provide schedule/timeline of event for completeness of project
- Concern for time
- Negative consequences downstream
- Added expense for litigation if something were to go wrong
- “Coastal Research” document is not a peer-reviewed study, it is an opinion
- Sunset Beach has benefitted from their jetty
- What will accretion mean for reclaiming private property (moving of setback lines)
- What erosional affects this will have on Sunset Beach
- Who will pay for consequences of the project to neighboring beaches (monitoring and mitigation)
- Affects on Saucepan Creek (positive/negative affects of shoaling in the inlet)
- Engineered distinction of this being a terminal groin, not a jetty or a groin (compare to other studies, i.e. Fort Macon, Pea Island –NCSU study)
- Concern about cost of studies on tax payers, how much information is enough
- Cost reduction of federal project (long-term)
- Time it will take to get the project in the ground, propose sooner rather than later)
- Impact on tourism, loss of money due to unsightly sandbags and loss of infrastructure
- Clear statement in EIS on how OIB will address future effects of the project
- Positive/negative impact on shoaling on inlet and navigability of the ICW
- Desire for a more expeditious process with less time and frustration